



Fort Bend County, Texas

State Single Audit Reports

September 30, 2025



Fort Bend County, Texas
Contents
September 30, 2025

Schedule of Expenditures of State Awards	1
Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of State Awards	3
Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With <i>Government Auditing Standards</i> – Independent Auditor’s Report	4
Report on Compliance for the Major State Program, Report on Internal Control Over Compliance, and Report on Schedule of Expenditures of State Awards Required by Texas Grant Management Standards – Independent Auditor’s Report.....	6
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.....	9
Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings.....	13

FORT BEND COUNTY, TEXAS
SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF STATE AWARDS
For the year ended September 30, 2025

State Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	Grantor or Pass-Through Entity Identifying Number	State Expenditures	Passed through to Subrecipients
Texas Department of Transportation			
<u>Direct Programs:</u>			
Section 5311 State/Local Rural Public Transportation	RUR 2302 (11)	\$ 24,445	\$ -
Section 5311 State/Local Rural Public Transportation	RUR 2302 (11)	13,294	-
Section 5311 State/Local Rural Public Transportation	RUR 2302 (11)	183,610	-
Section 5311 State/Local Rural Public Transportation	RUR 2502 (11)	17,734	-
Section 5311 State/Local Rural Public Transportation	RUR 2502 (11)	6,254	-
Section 5311 State/Local Rural Public Transportation	RUR 2502 (11)	114,078	-
Service Expansion Program Public Transportation	SEP 2502 (11)	76,354	-
Total Texas Department of Transportation		435,769	-
Texas Department of Criminal Justice - Community Justice Assistance Division			
<u>Direct Programs:</u>			
Diversion & Community Corrections Programs			
PreTrial Intervention-Diversion	008	301,848	-
Mental Health Initiative Caseload	015	227,700	-
Felony Drug Court	016	105,761	-
Substance Abuse Treatment Caseload	018	184,958	-
Special Sanctions Court	019	421,821	-
Aftercare Caseload	023	154,588	-
Total Diversion & Community Corrections Programs		1,396,676	-
Treatment Alternative to Incarceration			
Treatment Alternative to Incarceration	010	760,047	-
Total Treatment Alternative to Incarceration		760,047	-
Total Texas Department of Criminal Justice - Community Justice Assistance Division		2,156,723	-
Texas Juvenile Justice Department			
<u>Direct Programs:</u>			
State Aid - A	TJPC-A-2025-079	2,761,167	-
Special Needs Program M	TJPC-M-2025-079	52,953	-
Prevention and Intervention - S	TJPC-S-2025-079	209,898	-
State Aid - State Salary Adjustment	D-2025-15-179	998,822	-
State Aid	TJPC-A-2026-079	368,780	-
Special Needs Program M	TJPC-M-2026-079	10,653	-
Prevention and Intervention - S	TJPC-S-2026-079	15,882	-
State Aid - State Salary Adjustment	SAG-2026-15-79	108,277	-
Diversionary Reimbursement - RR	079	170,719	-
Diversionary Reimbursement - RR	079	26,350	-
Supplemental and Emergent	SE-2025-07573	10,699	-
Total Texas Juvenile Justice Department		4,734,200	-
Office of the Governor - Criminal Justice Division			
<u>Direct Programs:</u>			
Felony Drug Court (CARD), Misdemeanor DWI Court (JAG) (CSCD)	SF-1919118	104,975	-
County Innovations to Prevent Commercial Sexual Exploitation	SF-3944605	241,000	-
Youth Community Outreach Program	TP-4427703	75,805	-
Rifle-Resistant Body Armor - Constable Pct 1	BG-5134901	16,065	-
Rifle-Resistant Body Armor - Sheriff	BG-4937801	11,385	-
Total Direct Programs		449,230	-
<u>Passed-through the City of Houston:</u>			
Internet Crimes Against Children Task Force Program: Sheriff	2745207	23,000	-
		23,000	-
<u>Passed-through Harris County:</u>			
Texas Anti-Gang Center FY 2024	2542812	63,164	-
Texas Anti-Gang Center FY 2025	2542813	52,961	-
		116,125	-
<u>Passed-through Houston-Galveston Area Council:</u>			
Regional Juvenile Mental Health Services	11994	25,000	-
Regional Juvenile Mental Health Services	13300	22,542	-
		47,542	-
Total Office of the Governor - Criminal Justice Division		635,897	-

FORT BEND COUNTY, TEXAS
SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF STATE AWARDS
For the year ended September 30, 2025

<u>State Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title</u>	<u>Grantor or Pass-Through Entity Identifying Number</u>	<u>State Expenditures</u>	<u>Passed through to Subrecipients</u>
Texas Education Agency			
<i>Juvenile Justice Alternative Education Program (JJAEP)</i>	TJPC P-2025-079	73	-
<i>Juvenile Justice Alternative Education Program (JJAEP)</i>	TJPC P-2024-079	124,213	-
<i>Juvenile Justice Alternative Education Program (JJAEP)</i>	TJPC P-2023-079	42,347	-
Total Texas Education Agency		166,633	-
Texas Veterans Commission			
<u>Direct Programs:</u>			
<i>Texas Veterans Commission General Assistance-2024</i>	FVA24-F-112	114,473	-
<i>Texas Veterans Commission General Assistance-2025</i>	GEN25-F-010	32,942	-
Total Texas Veterans Commission		147,415	-
Texas Department of State Health Services			
<u>Direct Programs:</u>			
<i>Tuberculosis Prevention and Control - State</i>	HHS001437400001	166,402	-
<i>Tuberculosis Prevention and Control - State</i>	HHS001437400001 Amendment 1	11,591	-
<i>CDC - HIV/PREVF HIV-Prevention Services</i>	HHS000077800023 Amendment 7	89,623	-
<i>CDC - HIV/PREVF HIV-Prevention Services</i>	HHS001546100002	98,545	-
<i>CDC - HIV/PREVF HIV-Prevention Services</i>	HHS001546100002 Amendment 1	11,236	-
<i>Preventive Health Block Grant - RLSS-Local Public Health System</i>	HHS001324900021	9,583	-
<i>Immunization Cooperative Agreement - Locals</i>	HHS001331300020 Amendment 1	127,197	-
<i>Immunization Cooperative Agreement - Locals</i>	HHS001331300020 Amendment 2	17,255	-
<i>Infectious Disease Control Unit/Surveillance Epidemiology</i>	HHS001315700019	136,064	-
<i>Infectious Disease Control Unit/Surveillance Epidemiology</i>	HHS001315700019 Amendment 1	11,364	-
Total Direct Programs		678,860	-
<u>Passed-Through Texas State University:</u>			
<i>Texas State School Safety Center Tobacco - Constable #4</i>	790000	14,215	-
<i>Texas State School Safety Center Tobacco - Constable #4</i>	790000	17,178	-
Total Passed-Through Texas State University		31,393	-
Total Texas Department of State Health Services		710,253	-
Office of the Attorney General			
<u>Direct Programs:</u>			
<i>Texas VINE Program (SAVNS)</i>	C-01684	35,640	-
Total Office of the Attorney General		35,640	-
Texas Indigent Defense Commission			
<u>Direct Program:</u>			
<i>Formula Grant</i>	212-24-079	408,776	-
<i>Fort Bend County Public Defender Staff to Address Backlog</i>	PB-22-079	24,348	-
Total Texas Indigent Defense Commission		433,124	-
Texas Secretary of State			
<u>Direct Programs:</u>			
<i>Chapter 19 Election Funds - 2023</i>	79	58,009	-
<i>Chapter 19 Election Funds - 2024</i>	79	110,879	-
Total Texas Secretary of State		168,888	-
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality			
<u>Passed-Through Houston-Galveston Area Council:</u>			
<i>Solid Waste Implementation Grant</i>	TCEQ.24.0301	34,278	-
Total Texas Commission on Environmental Quality		34,278	-
Total Expenditure of State Awards		\$ 9,658,820	\$ -

FORT BEND COUNTY, TEXAS
NOTES TO THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF STATE AWARDS
For the Year Ended September 30, 2025

Note 1 - Basis of Presentation

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of state awards (the "Schedule") includes the state grant activity of Fort Bend County, Texas (the "County") under programs of the state government for the year ended September 30, 2025. The information in this Schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of the Texas Grant Management Standards (TxGMS). Because the Schedule presents only a selected portion of the operations of the County, it is not intended to and does not present the financial position, changes in net position or cash flows of the County.

Note 2 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Expenditures reported on the Schedule are reported on the accrual basis of accounting. Such expenditures are recognized following the cost principles contained in the TxGMS, wherein certain types of expenditures are not allowable or are limited as to reimbursement.

Note 3 - Indirect Cost Rate

The County has elected not to use the de minimis indirect cost rate allowed under the TxGMS.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this Schedule.

**Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and
Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in
Accordance With *Government Auditing Standards***

Independent Auditor's Report

Honorable KP George, County Judge, and
Members of Commissioners Court
Fort Bend County, Texas

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States (*Government Auditing Standards*), the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Fort Bend County (County), as of and for the year ended September 30, 2025, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated March 23, 2026, which contained an emphasis of matters paragraph for a change in accounting principle and correction of an error and contained a reference to other auditors who audited the financial statements of East Fort Bend County Development Authority (Authority), a component unit included in the financial statements of the aggregate discretely presented component units. The financial statements of the Authority were not audited in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and, accordingly, this report does not include reporting on internal control over financial reporting or instances of reportable noncompliance associated with the Authority.

Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the County's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies, and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. However, as described in the accompanying schedule of findings and responses, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses and significant deficiencies.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. We consider the deficiency described in the accompanying schedule of findings and responses as item 2025-001 to be a material weakness.

A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance. We consider the deficiency described in the accompanying schedule of findings and responses as item 2025-002 to be a significant deficiency.

Report on Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the County's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the financial statements. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

The County's Response to Findings

Government Auditing Standards requires the auditor to perform limited procedures on the County's response to the findings identified in our audit and described in the accompanying schedule of findings and responses. The County's responses were not subjected to the other auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

Purpose of This Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Forvis Mazars, LLP

Houston, Texas
March 23, 2026

**Report on Compliance for the Major State Program,
Report on Internal Control Over Compliance, and Report on Schedule of
Expenditures of State Awards Required by Texas Grant Management Standards**

Independent Auditor's Report

Honorable Daniel Wong, County Judge, and
Members of Commissioners Court
Fort Bend County, Texas

Report on Compliance for the Major State Program

Opinion on the Major State Program

We have audited Fort Bend County's (County) compliance with the types of compliance requirements identified as subject to audit in the Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts State of Texas Grant Management Standards (TxGMS) that could have a direct and material effect on the County's major state program for the year ended September 30, 2025. The County's major state program is identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

In our opinion, the County complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the major state program for the year ended September 30, 2025.

Basis for Opinion on the Major State Program

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAS); the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States (*Government Auditing Standards*); and the audit requirements of TxGMS. Our responsibilities under those standards and TxGMS are further described in the "Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance" section of our report.

We are required to be independent of the County and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with relevant ethical requirements relating to our audit. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion on compliance for the major state program. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the County's compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above.

Responsibilities of Management for Compliance

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements referred to above and for the design, implementation, and maintenance of effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, statutes, regulations, rules, and provisions of contracts or grant agreements applicable to the County's state programs.

Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether material noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above occurred, whether due to fraud or error, and express an opinion on the County's compliance based on our audit. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not absolute assurance and therefore is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with GAAS, *Government Auditing Standards*, and TxGMS will always detect material noncompliance when it exists. The risk of not detecting material noncompliance resulting from fraud is higher than for that resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above is considered material if there is a substantial likelihood that, individually or in the aggregate, it would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user of the report on compliance about the County's compliance with the requirements of the major state program as a whole.

In performing an audit in accordance with GAAS, *Government Auditing Standards*, and TxGMS, we:

- Exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- Identify and assess the risks of material noncompliance, whether due to fraud or error, and design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks. Such procedures include examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the County's compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.
- Obtain an understanding of the County's internal control over compliance relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with TxGMS, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit and any significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in internal control over compliance that we identified during the audit.

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a state program on a timely basis. *A material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a state program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. *A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a state program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the "Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance" section above and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance may exist that were not identified.

Our audit was not designed for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

Honorable Daniel Wong, County Judge, and
Members of Commissioners Court
Fort Bend County, Texas

Report on Schedule of Expenditures of State Awards Required by TxGMS

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the County, as of and for the year ended September 30, 2025, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements. We have issued our report thereon dated March 23, 2026, which contained unmodified opinions on those financial statements and an emphasis of matters paragraph for a change in accounting principle and correction of an error and contained a reference to other auditors who audited the financial statements of East Fort Bend County Development Authority, a component unit included in the financial statements of the aggregate discretely presented component units. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of state awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by TxGMS and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with GAAS. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of state awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Forvis Mazars, LLP

**Houston, Texas
May 7, 2026**

Section II – Financial Statement Findings

Reference Number	Finding
2025-001	<p>User Controls Over Service Organizations and Year-End Cutoff (Fort Bend Epicenter)</p> <p>Material Weakness</p> <p>Criteria: Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting to provide reasonable assurance that the financial statements are free from material misstatement. This responsibility includes designing and implementing effective user controls over information and reports received from service organizations that are used to record transactions and prepare financial statements.</p> <p>Condition: Internal controls over Epicenter did not include a documented reconciliation or other independent verification of financial reports and supporting detail received from the third-party management company prior to recording Epicenter Fund activity in the County’s general ledger and including amounts in the Epicenter Fund financial statements. As a result, certain transactions were not recorded in the appropriate period. Specifically, Epicenter Fund revenues were overstated by approximately \$65 thousand, expenses were overstated by approximately \$271 thousand, receivables were overstated by approximately \$122 thousand, and beginning net position was overstated by approximately \$328 thousand due primarily to improper cutoff related to event revenue and maintenance expense transactions.</p> <p>Cause: Procedures were not fully designed and implemented to verify the accuracy, completeness, and proper cutoff of information obtained from a third party before it was used for financial reporting purposes. As Epicenter was in its second year of operations, management’s control activities and review processes over third-party reporting were still being developed and refined.</p> <p>Effect or Potential Effect: Reliance on third-party-prepared reports without independent verification increases the risk that errors or omissions may not be identified timely, resulting in material misstatements of Epicenter Fund financial statement amounts. Audit adjustments were identified during the audit and recorded by management to correct the misstatements noted above.</p> <p>Recommendation: Implement and document a review process over third-party reports used for Epicenter accounting and financial reporting to include year-end cutoff procedures. At a minimum, this process should include reconciliation of key reports to underlying supporting documentation and/or County records, evidence of supervisory review, and timely investigation and resolution of identified differences prior to posting journal entries and finalizing Epicenter Fund financial statement amounts.</p> <p>Views of Responsible Officials and Planned Corrective Actions: Management agrees with the finding. See separate report for planned corrective actions.</p>

Reference Number	Finding
2025-002	<p>Payables Year-End Cutoff (Capital Projects Fund)</p> <p>Significant Deficiency</p> <p>Criteria: Management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for preparing financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). This includes controls to ensure that accounts payable and accrued expenditures are identified and recorded in the appropriate reporting period, particularly for capital projects spanning multiple fiscal years, so that liabilities and expenditures are complete and accurate at year-end.</p> <p>Condition: For certain multi-year capital projects, expenditures incurred prior to year-end were not recorded as accounts payable or accrued expenditures in the Capital Projects Fund as of fiscal year-end. As a result, approximately \$5.6 million of expenditures and related liabilities were recorded in a subsequent period rather than in the period in which the costs were incurred.</p> <p>Cause: Controls were not sufficiently designed and implemented to ensure timely identification and evaluation of costs incurred but not yet processed at year-end for capital projects. Specifically, year-end cutoff procedures did not consistently capture incurred costs requiring accrual, and related supervisory review was not effective in identifying timing differences for multi-year projects.</p> <p>Effect or Potential Effect: This condition resulted in expenditures and liabilities being recorded in the incorrect reporting period, which would have caused an understatement of liabilities and expenditures and an overstatement of fund balance in the Capital Projects Fund at year-end if not corrected. The absence of consistently applied cutoff procedures over capital project costs increases the risk that financial statements may not reflect expenditures and obligations in the proper period.</p> <p>Recommendation: Enhance year-end cutoff controls over capital project expenditures and related payables to include review of subsequent disbursements and documented supervisory review as part of a formal year-end close checklist.</p> <p>Views of Responsible Officials and Planned Corrective Actions: The County agrees with the finding. See separate report for planned corrective actions.</p>

Section III – State Award Findings and Questioned Costs

No matters are reportable.

**Fort Bend County, Texas
Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings
Year Ended September 30, 2025**

No matters are reportable.